Routine laboratory testing before endoscopic procedures

This is a clinical update discussing the use of periendoscopic laboratory testing in common clinical situations. The Standards of Practice Committee of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) prepared this document by using MEDLINE and PubMed databases to search for publications between January 1990 and December 2013 pertaining to this topic. The keywords “endoscopy” and “laboratory” were used with each of the following: “preanesthesia,” “preoperative,” “routine,” “screening,” and “testing.” The search was supplemented by accessing the “related articles” feature of PubMed with articles identified on MEDLINE and PubMed as the references. Additional references were obtained from the bibliographies of the identified articles and from recommendations of expert consultants. When few or no data were available from well-designed prospective trials, emphasis was given to results from large series and reports from recognized experts. Weaker recommendations are indicated by phrases such as “We suggest...,” whereas stronger recommendations are stated as “We recommend....”

The strength of individual recommendations was based on both the aggregate evidence quality (Table I) and an assessment of the anticipated benefits and harms.

ASGE guidelines for appropriate use of endoscopy are based on a critical review of the available data and expert consensus at the time that the documents are drafted. Further controlled clinical studies may be needed to clarify aspects of this document. This document may be revised as necessary to account for changes in technology, new data, or other aspects of clinical practice and is solely intended to be an educational device to provide information that may assist endoscopists in providing care to patients. This document is not a rule and should not be construed as establishing a legal standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment. Clinical decisions in any particular case involve a complex analysis of the patient’s condition and available courses of action. Therefore, clinical considerations may lead an endoscopist to take a course of action that varies from the recommendations and suggestions proposed in this document.

Routine preprocedure laboratory testing is the practice of ordering a set panel of tests for all patients undergoing a given procedure, irrespective of specific information obtained from the history and physical examination. There are insufficient data to determine the benefit of routine laboratory testing before endoscopic procedures, and therefore data must be extrapolated from surgical series and nonsurgical interventions. Most studies indicate that physicians overuse laboratory testing and that routine preoperative screening tests are usually unnecessary. An evaluation of routine laboratory testing in the periendoscopic period should consider the frequency of abnormal test results within a given population, the accuracy of the tests, the risks of the planned procedure, the use of moderate sedation versus anesthesia, and whether an abnormal result will affect the decision to perform endoscopy or alter periprocedural management or outcome. Individual patient and procedure risks should be factored into the decision to perform periendoscopic laboratory tests. General risk estimates are available for common endoscopic procedures.

The cost of screening and the expense of follow-up testing to evaluate often minor abnormalities that seldom improve patient care must also be considered. Furthermore, falsely abnormal test results may unnecessarily delay endoscopy and subject the patient to additional risks, with untoward health and economic consequences.

COAGULATION TESTS

The definitions of coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia and the threshold laboratory values (international normalized ratio [INR], platelets) that are considered acceptable for endoscopy and surgery have not been clearly established. This document is designed to assist in the selection of patients for whom testing is performed, but it is not intended to determine how a health care professional applies these results to individual patients.
Prothrombin time, INR, and partial thromboplastin time

In patients without evidence of a bleeding disorder or coagulopathy, the prothrombin time (PT), INR, and partial thromboplastin time (PTT) neither predict nor correlate with intraoperative or postoperative hemorrhage. Furthermore, when bleeding does occur, it typically does so in patients with normal coagulation parameters in the absence of clinical risk factors, as shown in studies evaluating patients who underwent bronchoscopy with biopsy or transjugular liver biopsy. In the absence of clinical suspicion of a bleeding diathesis, abnormal PT results are found in less than 1% of patients. Moreover, an abnormal PT result does not accurately predict bleeding, nor does a normal value ensure hemostasis.

Abnormal PTT results are encountered in approximately 6.5% of patients, with reports as high as 16.3%. One presumed justification for routine coagulation screening is to identify patients with undiagnosed hemophilia or von Willebrand disease because mild cases of hemophilia may escape detection until early adulthood, when hemorrhage may complicate major trauma or surgery. The PTT is not sensitive for hemophilia and has a false-positive rate of approximately 2.9%. Moreover, the calculated incidence of hemophilia in males without a family history of the disease or a history of major trauma or surgery is only 0.0025%. Therefore, a screening PTT for hemophilia is not recommended in the absence of clinical suspicion.

An abnormal PTT result does not reliably predict perioperative hemorrhage. A study of 1000 patients found that all patients with a prolonged PTT had clinical risk factors for bleeding, suggesting the need to determine testing on a directed history and physical examination. Similarly, a recent study evaluating the utility of routine coagulation testing in children undergoing endoscopic procedures found abnormal PT and/or PTT test results in 16.8% of patients. However, these results did not predict bleeding episodes. The PT and INR do not predict bleeding risk in liver disease because it relies on thromboplastins and measures only the activity of procoagulants and not anticoagulants, both of which may be depressed in patients with advanced liver disease. Routine PT and PTT measurements are not clinically useful unless the patient has a history of abnormal bleeding or known bleeding disorder or malnutrition; is receiving prolonged therapy with antibiotics associated with clotting factor deficiencies; is receiving anticoagulant therapy; or has prolonged biliary obstruction.

Platelet count

Similar to coagulation studies, a platelet count is not routinely advised unless there is a suspicion of thrombocytopenia based on the history or physical examination. Such clues may include a history of excessive bleeding or easy bruisability, myeloproliferative disorder, or the use of medications that decrease the platelet count. Thrombocytopenia occurs in less than 1% and results in altered care in 0.3% or less of surgical patients.

Bleeding time

Multiple studies indicate that routine preoperative measurement of bleeding time is not useful in predicting hemorrhage. Although newer techniques to assess platelet function are available, these tests have not been validated in terms of assessing the risk of perioperative bleeding. Contradictory results have been reported between test results and clinical endpoints such as bleeding. It is unclear whether these tests are clinically useful in patients with renal failure or in those receiving aspirin or other antiplatelet agents.

In summary, in the absence of clinical suspicion, abnormalities of hemostasis are uncommon, and routine preoperative screening for coagulopathy with PT, INR, PTT, platelet count, or bleeding time, either alone or in combination, is not recommended.

CHEST RADIOGRAPHY

Preoperative chest radiography is often recommended for patients 60 years or age or older, particularly those with a strong smoking history, recent respiratory infection,
or signs or symptoms suggestive of advanced cardiopulmonary disease. However, there is a high incidence of detecting incidental minor radiographic abnormalities that seldom alter patient care or clinical outcome. In 1 meta-analysis, 10% of preoperative chest radiographs were abnormal and 1.3% of patients had unexpected findings; however, patient care was altered in only 0.1% of patients. Therefore, routine chest radiography is not recommended before endoscopy. A chest radiograph should be considered in patients with new respiratory signs or symptoms or decompensated heart failure.

ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHY

The value of a screening electrocardiogram (EGC) is limited by the high incidence of abnormalities, which is approximately 30%, and by the lack of influence on patient care. Although convincing data are not available to suggest a benefit, a preoperative ECG is often obtained in patients of advanced age. However, there is no consensus regarding the minimum age for obtaining an ECG, and age alone is a poor indicator of who would benefit from screening. An ECG is often obtained in patients with comorbid illnesses (eg, heart disease, dysrhythmias, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and electrolyte disturbances) undergoing surgery, particularly when symptomatic, and undergoing more complex or prolonged procedures. For patients with a normal history and physical examination findings undergoing a minor surgery, routine electrocardiography is unlikely to alter outcomes and can be deferred. Similarly, routine preoperative electrocardiography in patients undergoing endoscopic procedures is not recommended. The exception to this is when the use of droperidol for sedation is being considered because this drug is associated with prolongation of the QT interval and is contraindicated in those with a prolonged QT interval.

BLOOD TYPING AND CROSS-MATCHING

Blood typing and screening are unnecessary before most surgical procedures unless it is anticipated that a blood transfusion may be necessary. The risk of bleeding after endoscopy is anticipated to be lower than that for surgery. Therefore, routine blood typing before elective endoscopy is not recommended. Blood typing, screening, and cross-matching should be considered in patients undergoing endoscopy for the evaluation and management of acute GI bleeding.

HEMOGLOBIN/HEMATOCRIT TESTING

Severe anemia is found in less than 1% of asymptomatic patients, whereas mild decreases in hemoglobin levels are relatively common. The baseline hemoglobin level has been shown to predict the need for transfusion in patients undergoing surgical procedures associated with significant blood loss. In addition, a hemoglobin level less than 8 mg/dL has been associated with cardiac morbidity and operative death. Although determining a baseline hemoglobin or hematocrit level is recommended before major surgery in patients anticipated to have significant intraoperative blood loss, such testing is not recommended for patients undergoing minor surgeries in the absence of clinical findings suggestive of anemia. Measurement of hemoglobin should be considered for patients with preexisting anemia or risk factors for bleeding, a high risk of adverse events with significant bleeding, advanced liver disease, or a hematologic disorder when undergoing endoscopic procedures in which there is a high risk of bleeding adverse events.

URINALYSIS

The rationale for a preoperative urinalysis is primarily to detect urinary tract infections or unrecognized renal disease. The incidence of abnormal preoperative screening urinalysis is approximately 19%, but has been reported in as many as 39% of patients. However, abnormal urinalysis results rarely affect patient care, and there are no data to suggest that urinary tract infections affect endoscopic outcome. Therefore, routine urinalysis is not recommended before endoscopy.

PREGNANCY TESTING

Although pregnancy is not a contraindication to endoscopic procedures and the use of moderate sedation, there are situations when it is important to be aware of pregnancy status because it may affect certain procedural aspects such as use of fluoroscopy and choice of sedation agents. When possible, it is advisable to avoid or delay elective endoscopic procedures until after delivery or to take appropriate measures to lessen the potential risk to the unborn child when delaying the procedure is not possible. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) states that “the literature is inadequate to inform patients or physicians on whether anesthesia causes harmful effects on early pregnancy,” and β-human chorionic gonadotropin testing before surgery is recommended, but not mandated, by the ASA. Pregnancy testing may be considered in women of childbearing age who provide an uncertain pregnancy history or a history suggestive of current pregnancy, unless they have undergone a total hysterectomy or bilateral tubal ligation or have had absent menses for 1 year (menopause). The threshold for pregnancy testing should be lower when fluoroscopy use is planned.
SERUM CHEMISTRY TESTING

Serum electrolyte determinations, tests of renal function, and serum glucose levels are usually reported together as part of a “chemistry” panel. Outcomes data regarding the use of screening serum chemistry testing is largely derived from the surgical literature. Routine preoperative chemistry testing rarely yields an abnormality that influences perioperative management in a patient without a history to suggest abnormal test results. When laboratory studies are performed on the basis of clinical considerations, as many as 30% of the test results may be abnormal and identification of abnormalities by such selective testing may result in substantial changes in the surgical management of the patient.1 Unsuspected abnormalities are found in only 0.2% to 1.0% of patients undergoing routine preoperative chemistry screening,2–4,9 and there is no evidence that these unexpected abnormalities alter anesthetic or surgical treatment or lead to an adverse outcome.5–7. Based on data from a literature review and 1 large study encompassing 2570 patients, it has been recommended that routine preoperative chemistry testing not be performed.8–10

It has been suggested that a test of renal function be performed in surgical patients older than 40 years of age to adjust the dose of perioperative medications. In addition, renal dysfunction (creatinine >1.9 mg/dL) has been shown to correlate with poor outcome in patients undergoing major surgery,6–8 and the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association both classify renal insufficiency as an intermediate clinical risk predictor for an adverse outcome after major surgery.9–10 However, there are no data to support this recommendation in patients undergoing endoscopy and mild impairment in renal function appears to have no bearing on the outcome with the use of moderate and deep sedation.

Considering the aggregate cost and lack of correlation between abnormal results and poor outcomes, routine performance of screening chemistry tests in an otherwise healthy patient undergoing endoscopy is not justified. Testing may be indicated for a subset of patients with a history of endocrine, renal, or hepatic dysfunction and those taking medications that may further impair function. In patients with known insulin-requiring diabetes mellitus, preprocedural evaluation of blood glucose levels may be warranted.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. We recommend that endoscopists pursue preprocedure testing selectively based on the medical history, physical examination, and patient and procedural risk factors. (B+)
2. We recommend against routine testing with coagulation studies, chest radiography, electrocardiography, blood typing or screening, hemoglobin or hematocrit levels, urinalysis, and chemistry tests before endoscopy in healthy patients. The use of these tests should be individualized based on patient and procedural risk factors. (B+)
3. We suggest that pregnancy testing be considered before endoscopy and fluoroscopy use in female patients of childbearing age with an uncertain pregnancy history or a history suggestive of current pregnancy in the absence of a previous total hysterectomy, bilateral tubal ligation, or absent menses for 1 year (menopause). (B+)
4. We suggest that coagulation studies be performed before endoscopy in patients with active bleeding, a known or clinically suspected bleeding disorder, medica
tion risk (eg, anticoagulant use, prolonged antibiotics), prolonged biliary obstruction, history of abnormal bleeding, malnutrition, or other conditions associated with acquired coagulopathies. (B+)
5. We suggest obtaining a chest radiograph before endoscopy in patients with new respiratory symptoms or decompensated cardiac failure. (B+)
6. We recommend blood typing and screening before endoscopy when a blood transfusion is considered likely in patients with active bleeding or anemia. (B+)
7. We suggest testing the hemoglobin/hematocrit before endoscopy in patients with preexisting anemia or on-going bleeding or when there is a high risk of significant blood loss during the procedure. (B+)
8. We suggest selective chemistry testing before endoscopy in patients with significant endocrine, renal, or hepatic dysfunction before using medications that may further impair function. (B+)
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