

Medication Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome

Katy E. Trinkley^a Milap C. Nahata^b

^aUniversity of Colorado, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Aurora, Colo., and

^bThe Ohio State University Colleges of Pharmacy and Medicine, Columbus, Ohio, USA

Key Words

Irritable bowel syndrome · Medications · Therapeutics · Functional gastrointestinal disorders · Constipation · Diarrhea

Abstract

Background: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a complex syndrome that is difficult to manage. Here we present the evidence supporting medication treatments for specific IBS symptoms, discuss evidence-based management of IBS with medications including dose regimens and adverse effects and review progress on research for new IBS treatments.

Summary: Currently, there is evidence to support improvements in specific IBS symptoms following treatment with loperamide, psyllium, bran, lubiprostone, linaclotide, amitriptyline, trimipramine, desipramine, citalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, dicyclomine, peppermint oil, rifaximin, ketotifen, pregabalin, gabapentin and octreotide and there are many new medications being investigated for the treatment of IBS. **Key Message:** Of the medications with demonstrated improvements for IBS symptoms, rifaximin, lubiprostone, linaclotide, fiber supplementation and peppermint oil have the most reliable evidence supporting their use for the treatment of IBS. Onset of efficacy for the various medications has

been noted to be as early as 6 days after initiation; however, the efficacy of most medications was not assessed prospectively at predefined periods. Additional studies of currently available and new medications are ongoing and are needed to better define their place in therapy and expand therapeutic options for the treatment of IBS. The most promising new medications for IBS include a variety of novel pharmacologic approaches, most notably the dual μ -opioid receptor agonist and δ -opioid antagonist, JNJ-27018966.

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel

Background

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional gastrointestinal disorder that affects nearly 15% of the US population [1]. It presents as a constellation of symptoms with marked inter-individual variability. IBS is categorized into three main types, which are constipation-predominant IBS (IBS-C), diarrhea-predominant IBS (IBS-D) and mixed-IBS (IBS-M). While patients with IBS-C or

K.E. Trinkley and M.C. Nahata contributed equally to the writing of this work.

IBS-D experience constipation or diarrhea, respectively, most days, persons with IBS-M may experience both constipation and diarrhea. Regardless of IBS type, each is often associated with additional symptoms, which may include flatulence, feeling of incomplete evacuation, or abdominal pain. As a consequence of the burden of IBS, patients with IBS may have a decreased quality of life and productivity [1].

Treatment of IBS can improve quality of life and prevent lost productivity. Since there is no cure for IBS, treatment is targeted to alleviate the specific symptom(s). Treatment options for IBS include dietary and lifestyle modifications, as well as psychological and medication therapies. While there are many treatment options for IBS, there is no clear first-line treatment for all persons with IBS, because of the variable presentation of IBS and the limited evidence supporting efficacy of many of the treatment options. The challenge of choosing one treatment modality over another is often the first of many when managing IBS. If medications are the treatment modality chosen, the choice of medication is the next challenge, followed by optimally using the medication in patients.

When choosing a medication for a given patient with IBS, the medication chosen should have demonstrated efficacy for that patient's specific symptom(s), including IBS type (IBS-C, IBS-D, IBS-M). Deciding on a given medication requires an understanding of the evidence to date, which as mentioned earlier, is limited. Beyond the challenge of choosing the best medication for a given patient's symptom(s), clinicians are faced with the final challenge of managing the medication to optimize treatment response and safety in patients. While many of the medications to treat IBS are used for indications other than IBS, the dosing regimens and onset of efficacy for the medications are often different when treating IBS compared to other conditions. Optimizing medication therapies should improve the probability patients will benefit from treatment.

The purpose of this review is to present the evidence supporting medication treatments for specific IBS symptoms and discuss evidence-based management of medications for the treatment of IBS, including dose regimens, efficacy, and adverse effects in patients. While many of the medications reviewed here are extensively used in patient care, a detailed review of optimal medication therapy including mechanism of action, dosage requirement, efficacy and adverse effects has not been published. Further, this review summarizes potential emerging therapies for the treatment of IBS.

Methods

To review the evidence supporting the use of currently available medications for the treatment of IBS, a Medline search was conducted from 1973 until September 2013 using the following search terms: 'irritable bowel syndrome', 'therapeutics', 'antidiarrheal', 'laxatives', 'loperamide', 'dietary fiber', 'psyllium', 'calcium polycarbophil', 'methylcellulose', 'bulking agents', 'lubiprostone', 'linaclotide', 'tricyclic antidepressive agents' and its representative agents, 'serotonin reuptake inhibitors' and its representative agents, 'dicyclomine', 'hyoscyamine', 'hyoscine', 'peppermint oil', 'parasympatholytics', 'rifaximin', 'pregabalin', 'gabapentin', 'clonidine', 'octreotide', and 'ketotifen'.

All placebo-controlled trials assessing the efficacy of a medication for the treatment of the specific IBS symptoms of abdominal pain, bloating, stool form or consistency, frequency of bowel movements, presence of mucus upon defecation, bowel urgency, feelings of incomplete evacuation, flatulence, or borborygmi were included. In addition, studies assessing global improvement of IBS were included. IBS symptoms assessed as combined endpoints were not included. Studies were excluded if they were not available in the English language. Further exclusions included drugs not available in the USA, drugs available only through restricted access programs, and probiotics. Probiotics were excluded because of the wide variety of bacteria present in these products and the inconsistencies among various products.

All studies were critically evaluated for the strength of evidence supporting the efficacy of each medication for explicit IBS symptoms, similar to the criteria used by the American College of Gastroenterology and as described in table 1 [2, 3]. Further, the studies were critically evaluated to determine the optimal management of each medication for the treatment of IBS, including careful assessment for safe and efficacious dosing regimens, onset of efficacy, duration of effect, and adverse effects. When applicable, additional resources, including FDA product labeling, were referenced to identify additional medication management issues that were not addressed in the trial(s).

To identify emerging therapies for and progress on the treatment of IBS, clinicaltrials.gov was queried, using the search terms 'irritable bowel syndrome' and 'IBS'. All trials registered that were ongoing or completed that included a medication as an intervention for IBS treatment were reviewed. Trials registered with medications that were no longer being investigated for the treatment of IBS were not reviewed, nor were trials of dietary supplements.

Results and Discussions

Medications Currently Available for the Treatment of Irritable Bowel Syndrome

The literature search resulted in 43 studies meeting the inclusion criteria that assessed the efficacy of medications for the treatment of IBS. Table 2 describes the studies that met inclusion criteria and their respective outcomes, whereas table 3 describes the overall efficacy of each medication by IBS type and symptom. With few exceptions,

Table 1. Criteria used to evaluate the quality and strength of evidence in studies of medications for IBS. Adapted from the American College of Gastroenterology [3]

Level of evidence	Benefit vs. risk	Methodological quality of evidence
1A High-quality	Benefits clearly outweigh risk	RCT without important limitations
1B Moderate-quality	Benefits clearly outweigh risk	RCT with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodological flaws, indirect, or imprecise)

many of the studies were limited by short treatment duration and follow-up, imprecise or variable methods of identifying IBS and subtype, and small sample sizes. Medications with the most robust evidence supporting their use for the treatment of IBS were lubiprostone, linaclotide, rifaximin, fiber supplementation and peppermint oil. Table 4 describes the proposed mechanism of action of the medications for treating IBS.

Medication management issues that were often not addressed in the clinical trials included onset of efficacy and adverse effects. Many of the clinical trials inconsistently reported medication-related adverse effects and IBS symptom relief was only assessed at specific time points, making it hard to assess medication safety and determine the true onset of efficacy for medications. As a result, and as indicated below, other drug information references, most commonly FDA product labeling, were used to make recommendations on the desired medication-specific management of IBS.

Lubiprostone

Lubiprostone is a novel agent, FDA-approved for the treatment of IBS-C. The FDA-approved dose of lubiprostone for IBS-C is 8 µg twice daily [4]. However, lubiprostone doses of 8, 16, 24 and 48 µg twice daily have been found to be effective and safe for most symptoms of IBS, with only 24 µg twice daily consistently demonstrating efficacy for all symptoms studied [5]. If symptom improvement is not satisfactory with 8 µg twice daily, a trial of higher doses may be reasonable. Onset of efficacy was apparent beginning at 1 month of treatment, but some symptoms were not improved until month 2 of treatment [5]. These data suggest patients should continue lubiprostone therapy for at least 1 month before discontinuing due to lack of efficacy. Adverse effects associated with lubiprostone included nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, flatulence, abdominal pain and distension, which were dose-related [5]. Administration with food and water or decreasing the dose may improve tolerability of lubiprostone.

Given the clear evidence supporting the use, lubiprostone appears as a viable and preferred option for the treatment of IBS-C. Limiting lubiprostone's use are its high cost and lack of long-term studies.

Linaclotide

Another novel treatment and the newest FDA-approved drug for IBS-C is linaclotide. The FDA-approved dose of linaclotide is 290 µg once daily. While 75 and 150 µg once daily were proven efficacious for some IBS symptoms and 600 µg once daily was found effective in a dose-finding study, 300 µg once daily was efficacious for all symptoms studied and was better tolerated than 600 µg daily [6]. Linaclotide at 290 µg once daily consistently improved IBS symptoms and was well tolerated [7–9]. Linaclotide is recommended to be taken on an empty stomach 30 min before breakfast to improve efficacy. Onset of symptom relief has occurred as early as week 1 [6, 7], which is a significant advantage when compared to most other IBS treatments. Diarrhea [6–9], flatulence [6, 8, 9] and abdominal pain [6, 8, 9] were the most common adverse effects associated with linaclotide. Diarrhea appeared to be dose-related [6] and most commonly occurred within the first 4 weeks of treatment [9].

Given the robust evidence supporting the use of linaclotide, linaclotide is a viable option for the treatment of IBS-C. Limitations of linaclotide's use are its high cost and lack of long-term studies.

Rifaximin

Rifaximin has been approved by the FDA for travelers' diarrhea and hepatic encephalopathy, but not for IBS. It has been approved, however, for IBS in many other countries. Some high-quality studies demonstrated its efficacy for IBS. The doses of rifaximin studied included 400 mg three times daily, 550 mg twice daily, and 550 mg three times daily. Advantages of rifaximin were that the starting doses did not require titration and the treatment duration was limited to 10–14 days. After the short treatment period, the efficacy of rifaximin was observed to persist up

Table 2. Placebo-controlled trials assessing the efficacy of medications for the treatment of IBS symptoms

Medication	Patients and IBS subtype	Dose and treatment duration	Study design	Level of evidence	Clinical efficacy results by symptom(s)
Lubiprostone [5]	n = 193 IBS-C	1) 8 µg BID, 2) 16 µg BID, or 3) 24 µg BID for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind; 2 weeks' follow-up	1A	Global improvement: significant improvement with 24 µg BID Abdominal pain: significant improvement Bloating: significant improvement Consistency: significant improvement with 24 µg BID Frequency: significant improvement
Lubiprostone [48]	n = 62 IBS-C	48 µg QDay for 2 weeks	RCT double-blind crossover; 2 weeks' washout	1A	Abdominal pain, frequency: no significant improvement Consistency: significant improvement
Linaclotide [7]	n = 1,604 IBS-C	290 µg QDay for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement, abdominal pain, bloating: significant improvement
Linaclotide [8]	n = 800 IBS-C	290 µg QDay for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind; 4 weeks' follow-up in which the linaclotide group was randomized to linaclotide or placebo	1A	Abdominal pain, frequency, consistency, flatulence: significant improvement
Linaclotide [9]	n = 804 IBS-C	290 µg QDay for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement, abdominal pain, frequency: significant improvement
Linaclotide [6]	n = 420 IBS-C	1) 75 µg QDay, 2) 150 µg QDay, 3) 300 µg QDay, or 600 µg QDay for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind; 2 weeks' follow-up	1A	Global improvement: significant improvement with 150, 300, and 600 µg QDay Abdominal pain, consistency, frequency: significant improvement Bloating: significant improvement with 75, 300 and 600 µg QDay
Rifaximin [49]	n = 87 IBS-All	400 mg TID × 10 days for 10 days	RCT double-blind placebo; 10 weeks' follow-up	1A	Bloating: significant improvement
Rifaximin [10]	n = 388 IBS-D	550 mg BID × 14 days for 14 days	RCT double-blind; 12 weeks' follow-up	1A	Bloating: significant improvement
Rifaximin [50]	n = 125 IBS-D and mixed	550 mg TID for 2 weeks	RCT double-blind; 10 weeks' follow-up	1A	Global improvement, abdominal pain, bloating, consistency: significant improvement
Psyllium [15]	n = 80 IBS-All	3.6 g sachet TID for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement: significant improvement Frequency: significant improvement
Psyllium [13]	n = 20 IBS-All	30 g QDay for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind crossover; 7–10 days' washout	1B	Global improvement: significant improvement Frequency: no significant improvement
Psyllium [16]	n = 80 IBS-All	3 g sachet BID for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement: no significant improvement
Psyllium [17]	n = 12 IBS-All	3.5 g BID for 16 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement: significant improvement
Psyllium [18]	n = 77 IBS-All	6.4 g TID for 8 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, consistency: no significant improvement
Psyllium or Bran [14]	n = 275 IBS-All	1) 5 g psyllium, BID, or 2) 5 g bran BID for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement: psyllium – significant; bran – no improvement Abdominal pain: psyllium – significant improvement; bran – significant improvement
Peppermint oil [21]	n = 50 IBS-All	550 mg QDay for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind; 4 weeks' follow-up	1A	Global improvement, abdominal pain, bloating, urgency, incomplete evacuation: significant improvement
Peppermint oil [19]	n = 110 IBS-All	187 mg TID or QID for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Abdominal pain, frequency, flatulence, borborygmi: significant improvement
Peppermint oil [20]	n = 74 IBS-All	187 mg (2 ml) TID 30 min before meals for 6 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Abdominal pain: significant improvement Bloating, consistency, frequency, urgency, incomplete evacuation, flatulence: no significant improvement
Peppermint oil [51]	n = 90 IBS-All	187 mg (2 ml) TID 30 min before meals for 8 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Abdominal pain: significant improvement Consistency, frequency, flatulence: no significant improvement
Hyoscine [17]	n = 12 IBS-All	10 mg QID for 16 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement: no significant improvement

Table 2 (continued)

Medication	Patients and IBS subtype	Dose and treatment duration	Study design	Level of evidence	Clinical efficacy results by symptom(s)
Dicyclomine [22]	n = 97 IBS-C	40 mg QID for 2 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain: significant improvement
Loperamide [52]	n = 60 IBS-All	4 mg QHS for 3 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, consistency, frequency: significant improvement
Loperamide [23]	n = 28 IBS-All	2 mg BID, then titrate to max. 12 mg as tolerated for 5 weeks (mean dose 4.8 mg/day)	RCT double-blind crossover	1B	Consistency, frequency, urgency, borborygmi: significant improvement
Loperamide [24]	n = 90 IBS-All	2 mg QHS, then titrate to max. 6 mg for 5 weeks as needed and tolerated (mean dose 3 mg/day)	RCT double-blind	1A	Consistency, frequency: significant improvement
Loperamide [25]	n = 25 IBS-D	2 mg QHS, titrated Qweek to max. 8 mg QHS for 13 weeks as needed and tolerated (mean dose 4 mg/day)	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, consistency, urgency: significant improvement Frequency, flatulence, borborygmi: no significant improvement
Amitriptyline [31]	n = 40 IBS-All	25 mg QHS × 1 week, titrated to 50 mg QHS × 1 week, then 75 mg QHS for 10 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain: significant improvement Frequency, flatulence: no significant improvement
Amitriptyline [32]	n = 33 IBS-All adolescents without psychiatric disorders	1) if 30–50 kg: 10 mg QHS, 2) if 50–80 kg: 20 mg QHS, or 3) if >80 kg: 30 mg QHS for 8 weeks	RCT double-blind; 3 weeks' follow-up	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain: significant improvement
Amitriptyline [30]	n = 54 IBS-D	10 mg QHS for 8 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement, consistency, incomplete evacuation: significant improvement Abdominal pain, mucus, flatulence: no significant improvement
Trimipramine [33]	n = 61 IBS-All	50 mg QDay for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Mucus: significant improvement
Trimipramine [34]	n = 428 IBS-All	1) 50 mg QHS, 2) 10 mg QAM + 40 mg QPM, 3) 35 mg QPM, or 4) 10 mg TID for ≥6 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Abdominal pain: significant improvement with 50 mg QHS, and 10 mg QAM + 40 mg QPM Frequency: no significant improvement
Imipramine [53]	n = 51 IBS-All without psychiatric disorders	25 mg QHS × 2 weeks, then 50 mg QHS for 10 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement, abdominal pain, frequency: no significant improvement
Desipramine [35]	n = 28 IBS-All	50 mg QHS × 1 week, 100 mg QHS × 1 week, then 150 mg QHS for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind crossover; 2 weeks' washout	1B	Global improvement: improvement, significance not reported Abdominal pain: significant improvement in IBS-D subgroup Frequency: significant improvement
Desipramine [54]	n = 31 IBS-All with depression	150 mg QHS for 6 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Abdominal pain: no significant improvement
Doxepin [36]	n = 44 IBS-All	75 mg QHS for 6 weeks	RCT double-blind; 4 weeks' follow-up	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, incomplete evacuation: significant improvement
Citalopram [53]	n = 51 IBS-All without psychiatric illness	20 mg QAM × 2 weeks, then 40 mg QAM for 10 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement, abdominal pain, frequency: no significant improvement
Citalopram [19]	n = 23 IBS-All without depression	20 mg QDay × 3 weeks, then 40 mg QDay × 3 weeks	RCT crossover; 3 weeks' washout	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, bloating, urgency, incomplete evacuation: significant improvement
Citalopram [55]	n = 54 IBS-All	20 mg QDay for 8 weeks	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement: no significant improvement

Table 2 (continued)

Medication	Patients and IBS subtype	Dose and treatment duration	Study design	Level of evidence	Clinical efficacy results by symptom(s)
Fluoxetine [56]	n = 40 IBS-All without depression	20 mg QHS for 6 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, bloating, urgency, incomplete evacuation, flatulence: No significant improvement
Fluoxetine [28]	n = 44 IBS-C	20 mg QDay for 12 weeks	RCT double-blind; 4 weeks' follow-up	1A	Bloating, consistency, frequency: significant improvement
Paroxetine CR [29]	n = 72 IBS-All without psychiatric disorders	12.5 mg titrated to 50 mg QDay as tolerated for 2 weeks (mean dose 30 mg/day)	RCT double-blind	1A	Global improvement: significant improvement Abdominal pain: no improvement
Ketotifen [37]	n = 60 IBS-All	2 mg BID × 2 weeks, 4 mg BID × 2 weeks, then 6 mg BID × 4 weeks	RCT double-blind; 2 weeks' follow-up	1B	Global improvement: no significant improvement Abdominal pain, bloating, consistency, frequency, incomplete evacuation, flatulence: significant improvement
Pregabalin [39]	n = 26 IBS-All without psychiatric disorders	50 mg TID × 3 days titrated to 100 mg TID × 4 days, then 150 mg TID × 4 days, then 200 mg TID for 13 days	RCT double-blind	1B	Abdominal pain, urgency: significant improvement
Gabapentin [38]	n = 40 IBS-D	100 mg TID × 3 days titrated to 200 mg TID × 2 days	RCT double-blind	1B	Abdominal pain, bloating: significant improvement
Clonidine [42]	n = 44 IBS-D	0.1 mg BID for 4 weeks	RCT double-blind; pilot study	1B	Global improvement: significant improvement Consistency, frequency: no significant improvement
Octreotide [40]	n = 46 IBS-D and A	20 mg IM Q4weeks for 8 weeks	RCT double-blind	1B	Global improvement, abdominal pain, bloating, frequency, flatulence, incomplete evacuation: no significant improvement Consistency: significant improvement

to 12 weeks [10]. Given the high cost of rifaximin, the short treatment duration makes treatment more feasible for many patients. Although the onset of efficacy is unclear, it may occur as early as 3 weeks. Adverse effects with rifaximin were no different than placebo in clinical trials, yet patients should be counseled on the potential for peripheral edema, dizziness, fatigue, and nausea [11]; however, the incidence of these adverse effects during short courses of treatment so far appears to be extremely low.

The favorable adverse effect profile of rifaximin in IBS trials in combination with the robust evidence supporting its efficacy suggest rifaximin may become a preferred option for the treatment of IBS. However, the manufacturer's supplemental new drug application for treating non-constipation IBS has been rejected by the FDA. Additional data were needed by the FDA [12]. Limiting rifaximin are also its high cost and lack of long-term studies. The lasting duration of rifaximin's effect on IBS after treatment cessation is not yet well defined.

Fiber Supplements

Although fiber supplements are not regulated by the FDA, it has been found to be efficacious for a number of

IBS symptoms. Both psyllium and bran have been studied and found effective for treating some symptoms associated with IBS. Efficacious doses of psyllium ranged from 3 g twice daily to 6.4 g three times daily to 30 g once daily. Onset of efficacy with psyllium has occurred within 4 weeks of use [13, 14]. At 10 g twice daily, bran was found to improve IBS symptoms, but the onset of efficacy was delayed until month 3 of treatment [14]. In clinical trials, psyllium and bran were well tolerated when initiated at the aforementioned maintenance doses, suggesting titration may not be necessary [13–18]; however, clinical experience with poor patient tolerance when no titration was performed suggests titration is important with fiber supplementation. Similarly, although adverse effects were found to be similar to placebo in clinical trials, patients were still at risk for adverse effects observed in persons without IBS, including abdominal pain, constipation, nausea, flatulence, and diarrhea.

There are several advantages to fiber supplementation for treating IBS, including its low cost, long-term experience with use, ease of access, and generally mild adverse effect profile. Further, the level of evidence supporting fiber supplementation has been greater than many other

Table 3. Efficacy of medications for the treatment of specific IBS symptoms: clinical outcomes of placebo-controlled trials

Medication	Global improvement	Abdominal pain	Bloating	Consistency	Frequency	Mucus	Urgency	Incomplete evacuation	Flatulence	Borborygmi
Lubiprostone	C: +	C: +-	C: +	C: ++	C: +-					
Linaclotide	C: +++	C: ++++	C: ++	C: ++	C: +++				C: +	
Rifaximin	D: +	D: +	A: + D: + D and M: +	D: +						
Psyllium	A: +++--	A: -		A: -	A: +-					
Bran	A: -	A: +								
Dicyclomine	C: -									
Hyoscine	A: -									
Peppermint oil	A: +	A: ++++	A: +-	A: --	A: +- -		A: +-	A: +-	A: +- -	A: +
Loperamide	A: ++	A: ++		A: ++++	A: +++ -		A: ++		A: -	A: +- -
Amitriptyline	A: ++ D: +	A: ++ D: -		D: +	A: -	D: -		D: +	A: - D: -	
Trimipramine	A: +	A: +-		A: +	A: -	A: - +		A: +	A: -	
Imipramine	A: -	A: -			A: -					
Desipramine	A: +	A: - D: +			A: +					
Doxepin	A: +	A: +						A: +		
Citalopram	A: +- -	A: +-	A: +		A: -		A: +	A: +		
Fluoxetine	A: -	A: -	A: - C: +	C: +	C: +		A: -	A: -	A: -	
Paroxetine	A: +	A: -								
Ketotifen	A: -	A: +	A: +	A: +	A: +			A: +	A: +	
Pregabalin	A: +						A: +			
Gabapentin		D: +	D: +							
Clonidine	D: +									
Octreotide	A and D: -	A and D: -	A and D: -	A and D: +	A and D: -			A and D: -	A and D: -	

A = Subject with all types of IBS were included; C = subjects included were IBS-C; D = subjects included were IBS-D; M = subjects included were IBS-M. Each + indicates one study with positive results; each - indicates one study with negative results.

medications and fiber appears to be beneficial for all types of IBS.

Antispasmodics: Peppermint Oil, Dicyclomine, Hyoscyamine

Antispasmodics used for the treatment of IBS include peppermint oil, dicyclomine, and hyoscyamine. Hyoscyamine should not be confused with hyoscine, commercially available in the USA as scopolamine; scopolamine is not commonly used for IBS and there is no oral form of scopolamine available in the USA, while hyoscyamine is used commonly for the treatment of IBS despite a lack of a placebo-controlled study assessing its efficacy. The antispasmodics are commonly used for the treatment of IBS, largely because of their generally favorable outcomes in clinical studies.

When recommending peppermint oil for IBS, important considerations are product availability and batch-to-batch consistency, as these products are available over-

the-counter and are not FDA-regulated. Despite the lack of regulation, peppermint oil has been well studied and found to be generally effective and well tolerated by patients for the treatment of IBS. Peppermint oil can be initiated at 550 mg once daily or 187 mg three times daily. Although peppermint oil may not require dose adjustment, the dosing frequency of three times daily can make adherence challenging for some patients. Furthermore, in clinical trials, the three times daily doses were administered 30 min prior to meals, which may complicate the dosing further for patients. Once initiated, the onset of efficacy may occur as early as week 2 [19], but may be delayed until week 6 [20]. Adverse effects with peppermint oil in the trials were similar to placebo, with 2 cases of heartburn, one of which resulted from a patient chewing the medication [20, 21]. In addition to heartburn, adverse effects associated with peppermint oil in the general population included nausea and vomiting. Enteric-coated peppermint oil products may lessen or prevent heart-

Table 4. Mechanism of action of medications for the treatment of IBS [27, 34, 37, 39, 40, 42, 49, 57 – 61]

Medication	Mechanism of action
Lubiprostone	Chloride channel-2 activator that increases chloride and intestinal fluid secretion, which increases motility and decreases transit time [53]; mucosal membrane stabilization may also reduce inflammation and sensitization
Linacotide	Guanylate cyclase-C agonist that increases intra- and extracellular cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) concentrations, resulting in increased chloride, bicarbonate, and fluid secretion in the intestinal lumen; the increased intestinal fluid decreases transit time and the increased extracellular cGMP decreases visceral pain
Rifaximin	Non-absorbable, broad-spectrum and gut-selective antibiotic that stabilizes gut flora and prevents overgrowth
Psyllium, bran	Fiber absorbs water into the intestine, creating a viscous fluid that increases motility and decreases transit time
Peppermint oil	Menthol impairs calcium transmembrane transit and thereby relaxes intestinal smooth muscle
Dicyclomine	Non-specific antimuscarinic and direct antispasmodic that results in relaxation of intestinal smooth muscle
Hyoscyamine	Non-specific antimuscarinic that results in relaxation of intestinal smooth muscles; decreases gastric acid secretions
Loperamide	Opioid receptor agonist that decreases gut motility, fluid secretion, and increases anal sphincter tone, resulting in increased transit time and decreased fecal volume
Citalopram, paroxetine, fluoxetine	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors that increase central serotonin synaptic concentrations, which decreases motility in patients with IBS-D and increases motility in IBS-C; serotonin reuptake inhibition decreases visceral pain
Amitriptyline, desipramine, trimipramine, imipramine, doxepin	Serotonin reuptake inhibitors that increase central serotonin and norepinephrine synaptic concentrations which results in decreased motility and visceral pain
Ketotifen	Selective, non-competitive mast cell stabilizer that results in decreased inflammatory response
Gabapentin	GABA-mimetic that interferes with GABAergic transmission which results in decreased visceral pain; mechanism not fully understood
Pregabalin	GABA analogue that binds directly to $\alpha_2\delta$ centrally which results in decreased visceral pain; mechanism not fully understood
Octreotide	Somatostatin analogue that decreases visceral sensitivity, gastric acid and fluid secretion; mechanism not fully understood
Clonidine	Central α -agonist that reduces sympathetic outflow and results in decreased motility

burn. To ensure patients purchase and take the prescribed product and dose, written instructions should be provided by the clinician to the pharmacist, as well as for patients. Detailed instructions for peppermint oil may assist the patient in finding the correct product from among the abundance of over-the-counter products with complicated labels at the pharmacy or health food store.

In contrast to peppermint oil, the antispasmodics of hyoscyamine and dicyclomine are regulated by the FDA and available by prescription, but there is less evidence to support their use when compared to peppermint oil. One clinical trial studied dicyclomine and found favorable outcomes with doses of 40 mg four times daily after 2 weeks of treatment [22]. Although there is no evidence outside of clinical experience to support the use of hyoscyamine, it is commonly used in clinical practice. Frequently used doses of hyoscyamine for the treatment of IBS have ranged from 0.125 to 0.25 mg four times daily and were often used

on an as-needed basis. Adverse effects of dicyclomine and hyoscyamine were anticholinergic in nature. Anticholinergic effects reported more frequently with dicyclomine than placebo in the clinical trial included dry mouth, dizziness and blurred vision, which appeared to be dose-related [22]. Other anticholinergic effects to be monitored include constipation, confusion, and falls.

Of the antispasmodics, peppermint oil has the most evidence supporting its use for the treatment of IBS, with limited evidence supporting dicyclomine and no evidence supporting the use of hyoscyamine outside of clinical experience. Although the lack of FDA regulation is limiting, peppermint oil may be a preferred agent for IBS, given the evidence supporting its use and its favorable adverse effect profile. If an alternate antispasmodic is preferred, dicyclomine may be chosen over hyoscyamine given the favorable evidence supporting dicyclomine and its lower cost.

Loperamide

Although most commonly used in practice for symptoms of diarrhea, loperamide has been found to be efficacious for multiple symptoms of IBS in persons with all types of IBS. Starting doses of loperamide for IBS have varied from 2 mg at bedtime, 2 mg twice daily and 4 mg at bedtime, with each dosing regimen being well tolerated. Based on the doses found to be efficacious in clinical trials, doses of 3–5 mg daily may be needed to produce symptom relief [23–25], but doses up to 12 mg daily have been safely tolerated [23]. Although the efficacy of loperamide given on an as-needed basis for the treatment of IBS has not been assessed, this is a common practice for managing IBS symptoms of diarrhea with loperamide. Onset of efficacy was apparent in clinical trials beginning at week 3 for some symptoms, but the full benefit of loperamide may not be apparent until week 5 of treatment [24]. Therefore, before treatment failure with loperamide is declared, loperamide should be continued for at least 5 weeks at the doses found to be most commonly effective in clinical trials, 3–5 mg daily. Studies assessing loperamide for the treatment of IBS found adverse effects to be similar when compared to placebo; however, common adverse effects of loperamide observed in the general population included nausea, cramping, and constipation [26].

Advantages of loperamide include its low cost, ease of access for patients as an over-the-counter medication, and long history of use. Additional studies with larger sample sizes, using as-needed dosing regimens, and in specific types of IBS patients are needed to better determine the specific role of loperamide for IBS.

Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors: Citalopram, Fluoxetine, Paroxetine

The efficacy data for the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), specifically citalopram, fluoxetine and paroxetine, have been conflicting, but generally favorable.

Citalopram has been found to be efficacious for treating IBS when started at 20 mg daily, titrated up to the maintenance dose of 40 mg once daily after 3 weeks [27]. Onset of efficacy was observed beginning at week 3 for some symptoms, but the full effect was not apparent until week 6 of treatment [27]. Fluoxetine improved IBS symptoms at doses of 20 mg once daily and required no titration [28]. Full efficacy was evident as early as week 4 and efficacy persisted even 4 weeks after treatment ended [28]. Similar to fluoxetine, paroxetine also demonstrated positive outcomes. Starting doses for paroxetine were

12.5 mg once daily, titrated as tolerated to a maximum dose of 50 mg daily [29]. The average dose reached in the trial was 30 mg daily [29], suggesting the most efficacious and tolerable dose was 30 mg daily. Onset of efficacy has not been assessed prior to week 12 [29]. If an SSRI is chosen, treatment should not be discontinued due to lack of efficacy until 4 or 6 weeks for fluoxetine and citalopram, respectively, and perhaps 12 weeks of treatment with paroxetine.

The use of an SSRI for IBS may be especially advantageous for a patient with comorbid depression or anxiety, given SSRIs are highly effective for treating depression and anxiety. Although the adverse effects associated with any of the SSRIs studied for IBS were found to be no different than placebo in the clinical trials, each agent carries the risk of sexual dysfunction, sleep disturbance, serotonin syndrome and weight gain, as seen in the general population. Given the somewhat conflicting evidence supporting the use of the SSRIs, they are generally initiated after other treatment options have failed.

Tricyclic Antidepressants: Amitriptyline, Desipramine, Trimipramine, Imipramine, Doxepin

The tricyclic antidepressants, including amitriptyline, desipramine, trimipramine, imipramine, and doxepin have been studied extensively in the setting of IBS. Imipramine was found to have no benefit, thus management of this agent is not discussed here.

In adults, amitriptyline has demonstrated efficacy at maintenance doses of both 10 mg once [30] and 75 mg at bedtime [31]. Maintenance doses of 75 mg were reached by initiating amitriptyline at 25 mg and titrating up by 25 mg weekly [31]. Some symptom improvement may be apparent within 4 weeks, but it may take 8 weeks to achieve the maximum benefit of amitriptyline in adults [31]. For adolescents aged 12–18 years, the doses studied and found to be effective were weight-based: 30–50 kg received 10 mg at bedtime, 50–80 kg received 20 mg at bedtime, and at least 80 kg received 30 mg at bedtime [32]. The onset of efficacy for adolescents was not assessed until week 6 [32]; thus it is unclear if the effect occurs earlier than week 6.

Trimipramine was found to be effective at total daily doses of 50 mg, given either once at bedtime [33], or as 10 mg in the morning and 40 mg at bedtime [34]. Efficacy was not assessed until week 4 of treatment [33], thus it is unknown if the onset of efficacy occurs before week 4. The only adverse effect reported more frequently than placebo was increased tiredness at night during the first 2 weeks of treatment [34].

Desipramine, initiated at 50 mg at bedtime and titrated up by 50 mg weekly to a maintenance dose of 150 mg at bedtime, was found to be efficacious for IBS [35], another study found an initial dose of 150 mg at bedtime to be well tolerated by patients. Statistical significance of adverse effects were not reported, but more patients taking desipramine experienced adverse effects of anxiety, palpitations, sweating and constipation than those taking placebo, which were mostly dose-related [22].

The efficacy of doxepin was also demonstrated in a small study. The doses found to be effective were 75 mg at bedtime and efficacy was determined after 6 weeks of treatment [36].

Advantages of the tricyclics may include their low cost, once-daily dosing, some evidence of efficacy, and many years of experience with their use. Further, patients with concurrent neuropathies, fibromyalgia, recurrent migraines, or psychiatric illness may benefit from treatment with a tricyclic, given the efficacy of tricyclics for these comorbidities. However, adverse effects may limit their use, although these were mostly not apparent in the IBS clinical trials. With the exception of some adverse effects occurring more frequently with trimipramine and desipramine, the occurrence of adverse effects with the tricyclics were no different when compared to placebo in the IBS trials. However, the tricyclics need to be used cautiously, given the common occurrence of adverse effects observed with their use in the general population, which includes anticholinergic effects and QT interval prolongation. Because of their adverse effect profile, the tricyclics are generally reserved for selective patients who have not responded to other treatments.

Ketotifen

Ketotifen is a new option for treating IBS symptoms. Whereas the oral product studied in clinical trials is not available in the USA, the ophthalmic preparation is commercially available and the oral product may be compounded for a specific patient. Ketotifen's efficacy was observed at maintenance doses of 6 mg twice daily after 8 weeks [37]. It should be initiated at 2 mg twice daily and titrated up by 2 mg twice daily at 2-week intervals [37]. The onset of efficacy was not assessed prior to week 8 of treatment [37]; therefore, efficacy should not be ruled out before week 8 of treatment. The only adverse effect reported to occur more frequently in patients treated with ketotifen than placebo was 2–5 kg of weight gain [37]. Other adverse effects in the general population have included rash, weight gain, and respiratory infections.

Further studies are needed to define the role of ketotifen for the treatment of IBS, but it may be an option for patients who do not respond to other well-studied medications, especially given its low adverse effect potential.

Anticonvulsants

Small studies have shown that both pregabalin and gabapentin improve IBS symptoms in persons with all types of IBS and IBS-C, respectively. After a total of 6 days of treatment, gabapentin was found to be efficacious at 200 mg three times daily after an initial dose of 100 mg three times daily for 3 days [38]. No other IBS treatment has demonstrated onset of efficacy before week 1 of treatment.

The effective maintenance dose of pregabalin was 200 mg three times daily [39]. Pregabalin was initiated at 50 mg three times daily for 3 days then titrated up thereafter by 50 mg three times daily every 4 days [39]. Because efficacy was not assessed until week 3 [39], it is unclear whether onset occurs before week 3 of treatment. Therefore, pregabalin should be used for at least 3 weeks.

Adverse effects associated with gabapentin and pregabalin that occurred more frequently than with placebo were dizziness and somnolence [38, 39], which have also been common among the general population taking gabapentin and pregabalin. Given the limited evidence supporting gabapentin and pregabalin for IBS, these agents should be reserved until patients have failed better studied treatments, or perhaps reserved for patients with concomitant neuropathies who may benefit from gabapentin or pregabalin beyond their effects on IBS.

Octreotide

In contrast to the other IBS treatments, octreotide has required infrequent dosing of every 4 weeks, which is an advantage for some patients. Also unique to octreotide is the intramuscular administration, which may be a deterrent for other patients. One study assessed the impact of octreotide 20 mg intramuscularly every 4 weeks on IBS-M and IBS-C and found some improvement after 8 weeks; however, efficacy was not reported prior to week 8 [40]. Thus, octreotide should be utilized for at least 8 weeks prior to discontinuation. Adverse effects were not reported [40], but patients should be counseled on the risks of use found in the general population. These have included bradycardia, chest pain, fatigue, headache, dizziness, hyperglycemia, abdominal pain, nausea, respiratory infection, and myalgia [41]. Until additional studies of longer duration and larger sample sizes are available, octreotide should be reserved as a last-line option for IBS for patients with severe, refractory symptoms.

Clonidine

The antihypertensive clonidine has been found to be effective for some IBS symptoms at 0.1 mg twice daily [42]. The onset of efficacy was not reported, but was apparent after 4 weeks of treatment [42]. Adverse effects reported more frequently with clonidine compared to placebo were drowsiness, dizziness, and dry mouth [42]. Change in blood pressure measurements were not reported [42], but all patients taking clonidine should be monitored closely for orthostatic hypotension and drops in blood pressure. Given the effect of clonidine on blood pressure, persons with concomitant high blood pressure, or attention deficit disorder and IBS-D may benefit from clonidine, given its positive effects on these disease states. The low cost of clonidine is an advantage; however, the risk of rebound hypertension with non-adherence may be a concern (table 5).

Progress in Research for Investigational Drugs for Irritable Bowel Syndrome

A number of medications for the treatment of IBS are currently in the research pipeline. Some of these medications are currently available for other indications and others are investigational drugs. Further, there are medications available in certain countries that are effective and used for the treatment of IBS, including ramosetron.

A review of clinicaltrials.gov, using the search terms 'irritable bowel syndrome' and 'IBS', identified 22 investigational drugs for the treatment of IBS with ongoing investigation into their utility as a potential treatment [43]. The investigational drug names, proposed mechanism of action and phase in drug development are listed in table 6. Further, there are 18 drugs that are currently available in the USA that are being studied in clinical trials listed on clinicaltrials.gov for the indication of IBS [63]. Of these medications, 10 have no prior studies assessing their efficacy for the treatment of IBS, which include mesalamine for IBS-D and IBS-A, duloxetine for IBS-A with comorbid depression, crofelemer for IBS-D, milnacipran for IBS-A, escitalopram for IBS-A, dronabinol for IBS-A, colesevelam for IBS-D, nortriptyline for IBS-A, polyethylene glycol for IBS-C, and mexiletine for IBS-A. The remaining 8 currently available drugs being studied in clinical trials to better define their role in therapy for IBS and include pregabalin, rifaximin, citalopram, doxepin, paroxetine CR, alosetron, tegaserod, and desipramine.

The most promising investigational drugs that have completed phase II clinical trials with published positive

Table 5. Current monthly cost of medications used for the treatment of IBS [62]

Medication	Monthly cost (USD)
Lubiprostone	329.80
Linaclotide	255.60
Rifaximin	570.38–634.31*
Psyllium and bran	Available over-the-counter; cost highly variable
Peppermint oil	Available over-the-counter; cost highly variable
Dicyclomine	31.66
Hyoscyamine	46.44–92.88
Loperamide	Available over-the-counter; cost highly variable
Citalopram	76.68–79.65
Paroxetine CR	332.28
Fluoxetine	156.08
Amitriptyline	5.41–26.44
Desipramine	184.76
Trimipramine	174.07
Imipramine	36.55
Doxepin	37.29
Ketotifen	Not commercially available; cost variable due to compounding
Gabapentin	95.83
Pregabalin	395.59
Octreotide	3,207.67
Clonidine	15.54

Monthly cost based on doses used in studies and least expensive dosage form available per Medi-Span [62].

* Based on 10-day treatment with rifaximin 400 mg TID and 14 day treatment with rifaximin 550 mg BID.

outcomes and without documented discontinuation of investigational efforts include JNJ-27018966 (MuDelta), ROSE-010, AST-120, ibodutant, and asimadoline [43]. The results of these most promising investigational drugs are described here.

JNJ-27018966 is a dual μ -opioid agonist and δ -opioid receptor antagonist with demonstrated benefit in patients with IBS-D. A randomized, controlled, double-blind study compared JNJ-27018966 25, 100, and 200 mg twice daily to placebo in 807 patients with IBS-D. The composite of diarrhea and pain was significantly improved in the JNJ-27018966 25 and 200 mg twice-daily groups compared to placebo (12, 13.8 and 5.7%, respectively, $p < 0.05$ for both comparisons to placebo) [44].

ROSE-010 is a glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue that was studied in a randomized crossover, placebo-controlled trial of 160 patients with IBS and associated ab-

Table 6. Investigational drugs for treating IBS listed on clinicaltrials.gov: mechanism and development status [43, 63]

Investigational drug	Mechanism of action	Phase in clinical trial development
JNJ-27018966 (MuDelta)	Dual μ -opioid receptor agonist and δ -opioid receptor antagonist that decreases motility and visceral pain	phase 3 ongoing
ROSE-010	Glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue that decreases gastric emptying and motility	phase 2 completed
AST-120	Spherical carbon adsorbent that selectively adsorbs substances in the intestinal lumen, including serotonin, histamine, Toll-like receptor ligands, bacterial adjuvants and bile acids	phase 2 completed
Ibodutant	Neurokinin-2 receptor antagonist that decreases motility and visceral sensitivity	phase 2 completed
Asimadoline	Selective κ -opioid receptor agonist that decreases visceral pain	phase 2 completed
LX1033	Tryptophan hydroxylase inhibitor, which results in inhibition of intestinal serotonin synthesis and thereby decreases	phase 2 completed and waiting results to be released
Dextofisopam	Non-sedating benzodiazepine that selectively binds to 2,3-benzodiazepine receptors, which results in decreased motility and visceral pain	phase 2 completed, but sponsor ran out of money to continue development
GW876008	Corticotropin-releasing factor inhibitor, which results in decreased motility, visceral pain and intestinal secretions	phase 2 completed
GW427353 (solabegron)	Selective β -adrenergic receptor agonist that decreases somatostatin release, which results in decreased visceral pain	phase 2 completed
DNK333	Neurokinin-1, -2, and -3 receptor antagonist that results in decrease motility and visceral pain	phase 2 completed
PD-217,014	GABA mimetic that interferes with GABAergic transmission which results in decreased visceral pain; mechanism not fully understood	phase 2 complete
MD-1100 acetate	Guanylate cyclase-C receptor agonist that increases intra- and extracellular cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) concentrations, resulting in increased chloride, bicarbonate, and fluid secretion in the intestinal lumen; the increased intestinal fluid decreases transit time and the increased extracellular cGMP decreases visceral pain	phase 2 completed
Plecanatide	Guanylate cyclase-C receptor agonist that increases intra- and extracellular cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) concentrations, resulting in increased chloride, bicarbonate, and fluid secretion in the intestinal lumen; the increased intestinal fluid decreases transit time and the increased extracellular cGMP decreases visceral pain	phase 2 recruitment
DA-6886	Serotonin (5-HT ₄) receptor agonist that results in increased motility and intestinal secretions	phase 1 ongoing
PPC-5650	Acid-sensing ion channel-1a inhibitor that decreases visceral pain	phase 1 ongoing
Daikenchuto (TU-100)	Traditional Kampo medicine that modulates cholinergic and serotonergic activity to increase motility; mechanism not fully understood	phase 2 ongoing
ASP7147	Bombesin-2 receptor antagonist that results in decreased motility and intestinal secretions	phase 2 ongoing
ONO-2952	Translocator protein antagonist that inhibits central neurosteroid production, which results in decreased visceral pain	phase 2 ongoing
AZD1722 (RDX5791, tenapanor)	Sodium hydrogen exchange member 3 inhibitor that increases intestinal sodium and fluid, which results in increased motility and decreased visceral pain	phase 2 ongoing
Neu-P11 (piromelatine)	Melatonin and serotonin (5-HT _{1A} and 5-HT _{1D}) agonist resulting in increased motility and intestinal fluid secretions	phase 1 ongoing
DDP733 (pumosetrag)	Serotonin (5-HT ₃) receptor agonist that increases motility	phase 2 ongoing

dominal pain. Patients were randomized to ROSE-010 100 µg once daily, 300 µg once daily or placebo. Treatment with ROSE-010 resulted in a twofold greater response to abdominal pain compared to placebo ($p < 0.05$ for all comparisons) and significantly greater patient-reported satisfaction with ROSE-010 ($p < 0.05$). The most common treatment-related adverse effect was nausea, which occurred in 19, 37 and 0% of ROSE-010 100 µg, ROSE-010 300 µg and placebo treatments, respectively [45].

AST-120 is a spherical carbon adsorbent originally used to delay renal failure progression and now being studied for its use in the setting of non-constipation-related IBS. A randomized, double-blind, controlled study of 115 non-constipation-related IBS patients demonstrated AST-120 2 g three times daily significantly improved the proportion of patients with at least a 50% reduction in the number of days with abdominal pain compared to placebo (26.8 vs. 10.2%, respectively). Further, AST-120 resulted in significantly improved bloating and numerically improved stool consistency compared to placebo. Adverse effects with AST-120 were similar to placebo [46].

Ibodontant is a neurokinin-2 receptor antagonist that has demonstrated efficacy for the treatment of IBS-D. The results are not published yet, but are available on the clinicaltrials.gov website. In a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial, of 559 IBS-D patients, ibodontant significantly improved abdominal pain, satisfactory relief of overall symptoms, and quality of life compared to placebo. All three doses of ibodontant (1, 3, 10 mg once daily) were superior to placebo, but 10 mg once daily was most effective and females responded better than males [43].

Asimadoline is a κ -opioid receptor agonist that has demonstrated efficacy in improving IBS symptoms of abdominal pain, urgency and stool frequency. A randomized, controlled, double-blind trial compared asimadoline 0.15, 0.5 and 1 mg twice daily to placebo in 596 patients with IBS-D. Asimadoline 0.5 mg twice daily significantly improved by twofold the total number of months with adequate relief of IBS pain, pain scores, urgency and frequency [47].

Conclusion

The choice of medication therapy for IBS is tailored to the patient's unique symptoms and the evidence supporting the efficacy of a given medication for these symptoms. The abundance of studies assessing medications for IBS

are highly variable in quality and the reported efficacy for given IBS symptoms are similarly variable across most studies for a given medication. The quality of available studies and clinical outcomes with medication treatment for IBS can assist the clinician in choosing a medication for a given patient. There are a few medication therapies for IBS with strong evidence demonstrating the safety and efficacy of treatment for IBS, which include lubiprostone, linaclotide, rifaximin, fiber supplementation, and peppermint oil. These agents may be preferred for treating IBS; however, with the exception of peppermint oil, these medications are new and expensive, which may limit their use in practice. Additional well-deigned studies are needed to define optimal dosage regimens of various drugs and to recommend cost-effective treatment strategies for patients with various types of IBS. It is encouraging that there are many ongoing studies of currently available and investigational medications for the treatment of IBS. Of the investigational drugs, JNJ-27018966, a dual μ -opioid agonist and δ -opioid antagonist in phase III clinical trials appear to be most promising as new treatment modalities for IBS.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- 1 Hungin APS, Chang GR, Locke GR, Dennis EH, Bargout V: Irritable bowel syndrome in the United States: prevalence, symptom patterns and impact. *Alimentary Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:1365–1375.
- 2 Brandt LJ, Bjorkman D, Fennerty B, Locke GR, Olden K, Peterson W, Quigley E, Schoenfeld P, Schuster M, Talley N: Systematic review on the management of irritable bowel syndrome in North America. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:S7–S26.
- 3 Brandt LJ, Chey WD, Foxx-Orenstein AE, Quigley EMM, Schiller LR, Schoenfeld PS, Spiegel BM, Talley NJ, Moayyedi P: An evidence-based systematic review on the management of irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009;104:S8–S35.
- 4 Lubiprostone. Package Insert. Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., April 2013. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugs-atfda_docs/label/2013/021908s011lbl.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2014.
- 5 Johanson JF, Drossman DA, Panas R, Wahle A, Ueno R: Clinical trial: phase 2 study of lubiprostone for irritable bowel syndrome with constipation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008; 27:685–696.

- 6 Johnston JM, Lurtz CB, MacDougall JE, Lavins BJ, Currie MG, Fitch DA, O'Dea C, Baird M, Lembo AJ: Linaclotide improves abdominal pain and bowel habits in a phase IIb study of patients with irritable bowel syndrome with constipation. *Gastroenterol* 2010; 139:1877–1886.
- 7 Quigley EMM, Tack J, Chey WD, Rao SS, Fortea J, Falques M, Diaz C, Shiff SJ, Currie MG, Johnston JM: Randomized clinical trials: linaclotide phase 3 studies in IBS-C—a pre-specified further analysis based on European Medicines Agency-specified endpoints. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2013;37:49–61.
- 8 Rao SR, Lembo AJ, Shiff SJ, Lavins BJ, Currie MG, Jia XD, Shi K, MacDougall JE, Shao JZ, Eng P, Fox SM, Schneider HA, Kurtz CB, Johnston JM: A 12-week, randomized, controlled trial with a 4-week randomized withdrawal period to evaluate the efficacy and safety of linaclotide in irritable bowel syndrome with constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:1714–1724.
- 9 Chey WD, Lembo AJ, Lavins BJ, Shiff SJ, Kurtz CB, Currie MG, MacDougall JE, Jia XD, Shao JZ, Fitch DA, Baird MJ, Schneider HA, Johnston JM: Linaclotide for irritable bowel syndrome with constipation: a 26-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate efficacy and safety. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:1702–1712.
- 10 Lembo A, Zakko SF, Ferreira NL, Ringel Y, Bortey E, Courtney K, Corsi E, Forbes WP, Pimentel M: Rifaximin for the treatment of diarrhea associated irritable bowel syndrome: short-term treatment leading to long-term sustained response (abstract T1390). *Gastroenterology* 2008;134(suppl 1):A545.
- 11 Rifaximin. Package Insert. Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., March 2013. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/021361s013lbl.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2014.
- 12 Food and Drug Administration. Xifaxan (rifaximin) tablets, 550 µg NDA 21-361: Briefing document for gastrointestinal drugs advisory committee meeting 16 November 2011. Available at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/advisorycommittees/committeesmeetingmaterials/drugs/gastrointestinaldrugsadvisorycommittee/ucm279646.pdf>. Accessed September 27, 2013.
- 13 Jaliha A, Kurian G: Ispaghula therapy in irritable bowel syndrome: improvement in overall well-being is related to reduction in bowel dissatisfaction. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1990;5:507–513.
- 14 Bijkerk CJ, de Wit NJ, Muris JW, Whorwell PJ, Knotterus JA, Hoes AW: Soluble or insoluble fibre in irritable bowel syndrome in primary care? Randomised placebo controlled trial. *BMJ* 2009;339:b3154.
- 15 Prior A, Whorwell P: Double blind study of ispaghula in irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 1987;28:1510–1513.
- 16 Arthurs Y, Fielding JF: Double blind trial of ispaghula/poloxamer in the irritable bowel syndrome. *Irish Med J* 1983;76:253.
- 17 Ritchie JA, Truelove SC: Treatment of irritable bowel syndrome with lorazepam, hyoscine butylbromide, and ispaghula husk. *Br Med J* 1979;i:376–378.
- 18 Longstreth GF, Fox DD, Youkeles L, Forsythe AB, Wolochow DA: Psyllium therapy in the irritable bowel syndrome. *Ann Intern Med* 1981;95:53–56.
- 19 Liu JH, Chen GH, Yeh HZ, Huang CK, Poon SK: Enteric-coated peppermint-oil capsules in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: a prospective, randomized trial. *J Gastroenterol* 1997;2:765–768.
- 20 Alam MS, Roy PK, Miah AR, Mollick SH, Han MR, Mahmud MC, Khatun S: Efficacy of peppermint oil in diarrhea predominant IBS – a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled study. *Mymensingh Med* 2013;22:27–30.
- 21 Cappello G, Spezzaferro M, Grossi L, Manzoli L, Marzio L: Peppermint oil (Mintoil) in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: a prospective double-blind placebo controlled randomized trial. *Dig Liver Dis* 2007;39:530–536.
- 22 Page JG, Dirnberger GM: Treatment of the irritable bowel syndrome with Bentyl (dicyclomine hydrochloride). *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1981;3:153–156.
- 23 Cann PA, Read NW, Holdsworth CD, Barends D: Role of loperamide and placebo in management of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). *Dig Dis Sci* 1984;29:239–247.
- 24 Efskind PS, Bernklev T, Vatn MH: A double-blind placebo-controlled trial with loperamide in irritable bowel syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:463–468.
- 25 Lavo B, Stenstam M, Nielsen AL: Loperamide in treatment of irritable bowel syndrome – a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;130:S77–S80.
- 26 Loperamide. Package Insert. Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc., July 1998. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2005/017694s050lbl.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2014.
- 27 Tack J, Broekaert D, Fischler B, Van Oudenhove L, Gevers AM, Janssens J: A controlled crossover study of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor citalopram in irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 2006;55:1095–1103.
- 28 Vahedi H, Merat S, Rashidooon A, Ghoddooi A, Malekzadeh R: The effect of fluoxetine in patients with pain and constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome: a double-blind randomized-controlled study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;22:381–385.
- 29 Masand PS, Pae CU, Krulwicz S, Peindl K, Mannelli P, Varia IM, Patkar AA: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of paroxetine controlled-release in irritable bowel syndrome. *Psychosomatics* 1990;50:78–86.
- 30 Vahedi H, Merat S, Momtahan S, Kazzazi S, Ghaffari N, Olfati G, Malekzadeh R: Clinical trial: the effect of amitriptyline in patients with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008;27:678–684.
- 31 Rajagopalan M, Kurian G, John J: Symptom relief with amitriptyline in the irritable bowel syndrome. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;13:738–741.
- 32 Bahar RJ, Collins BS, Steinmetz B, Ament ME: Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of amitriptyline for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome in adolescents. *J Pediatr* 2008;152:685–689.
- 33 Myren J, Groth H, Larssen SE, Larsen S: The effect of trimipramine in patients with the irritable bowel syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1982;17:871–875.
- 34 Myren J, Lovland B, Larssen S-E, Larsen S: A double-blind study of the effect of trimipramine in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1984;19:835–843.
- 35 Greenbaum DS, Mayle JE, Vanegeren LE, Jerome JA, Mayor JW, Greenbaum RB, Matson RW, Stein GE, Dean HA, Halvorsen NA: Effects of desipramine on irritable bowel syndrome compared with atropine and placebo. *Dig Dis Sci* 1987;32:257–266.
- 36 Vij JG, Jiloha RG, Kumar N: Effect of antidepressant drug (doxepin) on irritable bowel syndrome patients. *Ind J Psychiatry* 1991;33, 243–246.
- 37 Kooker TK, Braak B, Koopman KE, Welting O, Wouters MM, van der Heide S, Schemann M, Bischoff SC, van den Wijngaard RM, Boeckstaens GE: The mast cell stabilizer ketotifen decreases visceral hypersensitivity and improves intestinal symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 2010;59:1213–1221.
- 38 Lee KJ, Kim JH, Cho SW: Gabapentin reduces rectal mechanosensitivity and increases compliance in patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;22:981–988.
- 39 Houghton LA, Fell C, Whorwell PJ, Jones I, Sudworth DP, Gale JD: Effect of a second-generation $\alpha_2\delta$ ligand (pregabalin) on visceral sensation in hypersensitive patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 2007;56:1218–1225.
- 40 Kooker TK, Kuiken SD, Lei A, Boeckstaens GE: Effect of long-term treatment with octreotide on rectal sensitivity in patients with non-constipated irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;26:605–615.
- 41 Octreotide. Package Insert. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation March 2012. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/019667s061lbl.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2014.
- 42 Camilleri M, Kim DY, McKinzie S, Kim HJ, Thomforde GM, Burton DD, Low PA, Zinsmeister AR: A randomized, controlled exploratory study of clonidine in diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003;1:111–121.

- 43 Clinical trials.gov: Find Studies. Available at clinicaltrials.gov. Accessed November 19, 2013.
- 44 Lazard Capital Markets Annual Healthcare Conference. Furiex Pharmaceuticals. Available at <http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/ABEA-4H9PM3/0x0x550458/a546b8d0-d614-4136-9514-3c960c74649f/Lazard%20Capital%20Markets%20Annual%20Healthcare%20Conference%20Presentation>. Accessed November 11, 2013.
- 45 Hellstrom PM, Hein J, Bytzer P, Bjornsson E, Kristensen J, Schambye H: Clinical trial: the glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue ROSE-010 for management of acute pain in patients with irritable bowel syndrome: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009;29:198–206.
- 46 Tack JF, Miner PB, Fischer L, Harris MS: Randomised clinical trial: the safety and efficacy of AST-120 in non-constipating irritable bowel syndrome – a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;43:868–877.
- 47 Mangel AW, Bornstein JD, Hamm LR, Buda J, Wang J, Irish W, Urso D: Clinical trial: asimadoline in the treatment of patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008;28:239–249.
- 48 Whitehead WE, Palsson OS, Gangarosa L, Turner M, Tucker J: Lubiprostone does not influence visceral pain thresholds in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011;23:944–e400.
- 49 Pimentel M, Sandy P, Mirocha J, Kane SV, Kong Y: The effect of a nonabsorbed oral antibiotic (rifaximin) on the symptoms of the irritable bowel syndrome. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:557–563.
- 50 Pimental M, Lembo A, Chey WD, Zakko S, Ringel Y, Yu J, Mareya SM, Shaw AL, Bortey E, Forbes WP: Rifaximin therapy for patients with irritable bowel syndrome without constipation. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:22–32.
- 51 Merat S, Khalili S, Mostajabi P, Ghorbani A, Ansari R, Malekzadeh R: The effect of enteric-coated, delayed-release peppermint oil on irritable bowel syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci* 2010;55:1385–1390.
- 52 Hovdenak N: Loperamide treatment of the irritable bowel syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;130:S81–S84.
- 53 Talley NJ, Kellow JE, Boyce P, Tennant C, Huskic S, Jones M: Antidepressant therapy (imipramine and citalopram) for irritable bowel syndrome: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008;53:108–115.
- 54 Heefner JD, Wilder RM, Wilson ID: Irritable colon and depression. *Psychosomatics* 1978;19:540–547.
- 55 Ladabaum U, Sharabidze A, Levin TR, Zhao WK, Chung E, Bacchetti P, Jin C, Grimes B, Pepin CJ: Citalopram provides little or no benefit in nondepressed patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;8:42–48.
- 56 Kuiken SD, Tytgat GNJ, Boeckxstaens GEE: The selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor fluoxetine does not change rectal sensitivity and symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003;1:219–228.
- 57 Forte LR Jr: Uroguanylin and guanylin peptides: pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. *Pharmacol Ther* 2004;104:137–162.
- 58 Eutamene H, Bradesi S, Laraue M, Theodorou V, Beaufrand C, Ohning G, Fiorimonti J, Cohen M, Bryant AP, Kurtz C, Currie MG, Mayer EA, Bueno L: Guanylate cyclase C-mediated antinociceptive effects of linaclotide in rodent models of visceral pain. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010;22:312–e84.
- 59 Blikslager AT, Moeser AJ, Gookin JL, Jones SL, Odle J: Restoration of barrier function in injured intestinal mucosa. *Physiol Rev* 2007;87:545–564.
- 60 Hills JM, Aaronson PI: The mechanism of action of peppermint oil on gastrointestinal smooth muscle. *Gastroenterology* 1991;101:55–65.
- 61 Sohn W, Yee OY, Kwon JG, Park KS, Lim YJ, Kim TH, Jung SW, Kim JI: Tianeptine vs. amitriptyline for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea: a multicenter, open-label, non-inferiority, randomized controlled study. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012;24:860–e398.
- 62 Medi-Span. Medi-Span Average WAC Pricing File. Available at <http://www.medispans.com/average-wac-pricing-file/>. Accessed November 16, 2013.
- 63 Bubenik GA: Gastrointestinal melatonin: localization, function, and clinical relevance. *Dig Dis Sci* 2002;47:2336–2348.